

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/599,602	06/23/2000	Robert J. Rosko	JPC-007	1459
70813 GOODWIN PI	7590 12/03/2007		·EXAMINER	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.		FELTEN, DANIEL S		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			3694	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

AAlpha-Kpetewama@goodwinprocter.com bhenry@goodwinprocter.com HMCPEAKE@GOODWINPROCTER.COM

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/599,602	ROSKO, ROBE	ROSKO, ROBERT J.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Daniel S. Felten	3694		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMM R 1.136(a). In no event, however, m n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) tatute, cause the application to become	UNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final.		he merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	drawn from consideration			
Applicati	on Papers	•			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in ab rrection is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 (
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received priority documents have b reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this Nationa	al Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application :		

Application/Control Number: 09/599,602

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

1. Prosecution is REOPENED upon recommendation of an Appeal Conference held
October 25, 2007 based upon arguments presented in the Substitute Appeal Brief filed July 21,
2007 regarding the use of Bennet as prior art (see Substitute Brief page 11) instead of the
provisional application 60/190,825 filed upon March 21, 2000. Rejections are submitted below
using the '825 provisional application in response to arguments presented in the Substitute Brief.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lebda et al (US 6,385,594) in view of provisional Application 60/190,825 (hereinafter '825).

The claims in reference to Lebda have been all previously addressed in the office action mailed February 07, 2005.

Lebda fails to disclose,

The request to apply is for a plurality of products, and specific information is required to be submitted to apply for each one of the products;

each page is assembled over the network from documents contains at least one field corresponding to the specific information required to apply for one of the products.

Application/Control Number: 09/599,602

Art Unit: 3694

The fields displayed by a plurality of documents are specific information required to be submitted to apply for each one of a plurality of products.

The applicant has asserted that '825 does not support the above teaching, particularly that '825 does not support the teaching of credit analysis that differs as a function of the item for which the loan is sought (see Substitute Brief, page 12). The examiner disagrees the applicant's narrow interpretation of the provisional invention. It is submitted that the AutoAfford platform precisely teaches credit analysis that differs as a function of the item for which the loan is sought because it provides "real-time, multi (emphasis added) or single lender loan qualification." (see page 1, second paragraph). It is submitted that credit analysis is used as part of the screening process and provides the buyer with multiple lender choices. It is well known in the art, whether buying different types of cars or houses, that multiple lenders structure comparable (but different) loans based upon the consumers credit report. It is also submitted in relation to AutoAfford that the processing of pre-selected vehicles can represent a range of different type of vehicles or items associated with a vehicle. For example, there may be a difference between loan structure based upon a fully loaded vehicle and one that is not, or a Lexus and a Ford mustang.

Thus it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention was made to combine the teachings of Lebda relating to presenting and accepting a credit application over a network to including the teachings of '825 because for the potentially different types of credit wherein affordability would be a factor for structuring various types of credit.

Application/Control Number: 09/599,602

Art Unit: 3694

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Felten whose telephone number is (571) 272-6742. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3694

DSF 10/25/2007